

Pinwherry & Pinmore Community Council

**Minutes from PPCC Business Meeting held on
Thursday 19 September 2019 in Pinwherry Community Hall**

Agenda	Action
<p>1. Sederunt</p> <p><u>Community Councillors</u> Mike Chamberlain (MC) David Logan (DL) Hal Maxwell Veronica Norman (VN) Ian Yellowlees (IY)</p> <p><u>Speaker:</u> Clare Graham (CG)</p> <p><u>PPCDA/2Pins Rep</u> None</p> <p><u>Police Scotland</u> None</p> <p><u>Members of Public</u> None</p> <p>Minutes: Irene Climie (IC)</p> <p><u>South Ayrshire Council (SAC)</u> Peter Henderson (PH), Councillor</p> <p><u>South Ayrshire Council Link Officer</u> Chris Campbell</p>	
<p>2. Apologies: None.</p>	
<p>3. Declaration of interest: None.</p>	
<p>4. Police Report: There was no one in attendance from Police Scotland and no report.</p>	
<p>5. Presentations: CG spoke about the situation with Brunston Clubhouse, the Hadyard Hill (HH) fund and the Assel Valley (AV) and their respective criteria and interest in the Clubhouse. CG said that, at the closing date for interests in the sale of Brunston Clubhouse last week, bids had been received but the details were not to be released to the public at the moment. There was no information on whether it had been sold to the highest bidder. CG confirmed that she had met with the Brunston solicitors in Glasgow over issues regarding the Clubhouse. CG stated that the sale of the golf club had raised money, which would be forwarded to HH for onward distribution to the three South Ayrshire Councils who would be the recipients of the sale – Dailly, Barr and PPCC. CG said that, now, she needed to speak to all three Community Councils as to whether they wanted to make decisions on their area only or if they wanted to deal with cross-community council areas. CG said that inspection of the accounts, for the past two years of all 3 CCs, showed that 50% of grants awarded were for cross-community projects. CG also said that an HH panel would be controlled by PPCC, but Barr and Dailly had panels separate from the CC. HM suggested that they could share knowledge if an issue had already been discussed at a PPCC meeting. HM also asked that, as currently an application cannot be submitted to two different CCs, would this be any different now? CG said that all CCs would have to agree the way forward and that she expected panels would be made up between 6 & 9 individuals.</p>	

<p>There was a discussion regarding the possibility of extra administration needed for the panels but CG said that Foundation Scotland (FS) would pick any additional costs up. CG asked if PPCC wanted the money to be released to them, how would they set up a panel?</p> <p>IY expected it would be made up of PPCC members and also representatives of any community organisations.</p> <p>HM suggesting sending mailshots to the community to determine the interest.</p> <p>IY thought that HH money was spent within the community. However, AV money could go outside the community. Might the panel have reps on it, which would prevent this? MC confirmed that anything spent from the HH money had to be spent in the community. Any money from AV comes to PPCC and can be spent as appropriate.</p> <p>HM said he would not have thought that panel reps would vote against anything useful for the residents, which was out of the area.</p> <p>HM wondered if the panels could be run together at first and then separate later if it didn't work.</p> <p>CG confirmed the process that would be carried out.</p>	
<p>6. Minutes of Meeting on 15/08/19 Both minutes were approved by MC and IY.</p>	
<p>7. Matters arising There were no matters arising.</p>	
<p>8. Correspondence IY reported that there had been an update on Millenderdale. This had now gone to the Scottish government and been suspended, which was related to Knocklaw Lodge, High Letterpin Cottage and Farm, and Currarie. IY had noted how high the hedge was outside one property, which reduced the impact of people's property. The members considered that PPCC would continue to object to the plans.</p> <p>IY also reported on the Clauchrie Windfarm, which would be going to decision soon. There were no objections from Barrhill.</p> <p>MC noted that there was a meeting on 30 Sept about this. He asked why planning was turning developments down and then the applications were going to the Scottish government.</p> <p>CC remarked that the Scottish Government wants Scotland to be the No. 1 in renewable energy.</p> <p>PH confirmed that most electricity was going to Northern Ireland but that pump storage was coming on line.</p>	
<p>9. Reports</p> <p>a) <u>Link officer</u> CC said that he had nothing to report. HM asked him about the Blue Book. CC confirmed that he had received the old Blue Book in 2012 and immediately recognised that it was out-of-date.</p> <p>PH said that all the legal aspects had been done and the new book would be out, possibly next week, for consultation then it would return to the Leadership Panel in December form final checks. He confirmed that the new Blue Book contained changes on Community Council boundaries and sub-groups, and that Coylton was to have a Community Council.</p> <p>b) <u>PPCDA / 2Pins</u> PW did not attend this meeting but his report was distributed.</p> <p>c) <u>Elected members report</u></p>	

<p>PH said that the Local development Plan 2 had passed the initial stages and would be out to consultation so that parts of it could be added / criticised / or taken out. The plan was available online or from libraries and other Council offices.</p> <p>PH confirmed that the plan contained details of planning / building for the next 5 years. After consultation, the plan would go back to the Council for final tweaks. It would then go to the Scottish Government as it was a legal document.</p> <p>PH reported on the agreement of SPT / Transport Scotland / Stagecoach and Scotrail to look at timetables to enable joined-up journeys.</p> <p>PH noted that the recent STAR awards had led to several winners in the local area. Among the winners were BRICC with Rosemary winning the overall award, Hillcrest, the Glendoune Centre and Carrick Opportunities for adults with learning disabilities.</p> <p>PH said that, today, he had been passed a note referring to the proposed repairs at Stumpy corner, which showed that it was intended to close the four roads meeting at Stumpy from Mon 21 October for a maximum of 6 weeks. He did not have full details of how traffic was to be managed.</p> <p>PH reported on a funeral support Plan, which starts this month and proposes a minimum of £700 to be available to eligible claimants.</p> <p>PH asked if IY had received details of a Resilience Plan for Community Councils. IY said that he hadn't and therefore would check the mailing list to make sure he received this information.</p> <p>PH said that SAC was extending the "Trusted Trader" scheme. Trading Standards will inspect work done and there was interest from professional organisations to add their support. PH said that over 90 organisations were members of the scheme so far.</p> <p>PH confirmed that the accommodation at North Park Court, Girvan for adults with additional needs, was now occupied.</p> <p>PH confirmed that SAC had saved £2m this year. The budget found that health and education accounted for 84% of the budget, with only 16% available for all the others demands on the budget.</p> <p>d) <u>Planning Matters</u> DL confirmed there were no planning matters.</p> <p>e) <u>Road Matters</u> IY noted that Pinmore Bridge had been hit again and was quite badly damaged. There was a short discussion on how future incidents might be avoided.</p>	
<p>10. Open Forum There were no other issues.</p>	
<p>11. AOCB There were no issues.</p>	
<p>12. Date of next meeting</p> <p>The next PPCC meeting will take place on Thurs 17 October 2019. Irene gave her apologies for being unable to attend to take the minutes.</p> <p>This ended the public section of the meeting.</p>	