

Pinwherry & Pinmore Community Council

**Minutes from PPCC Business Meeting held on
Thursday 16 January 2020 in Pinwherry Community Hall**

Agenda	Action
<p>1. Sederunt</p> <p><u>Community Councillors</u> Mike Chamberlain (MC) Veronica Norman (VN) Ian Yellowlees (IY)</p> <p><u>South Ayrshire Council (SAC)</u> Ian Fitzsimmons (IF), Councillor</p> <p><u>South Ayrshire Council Link Officer</u> Chris Campbell</p> <p><u>Speaker:</u> Clare Graham (CG), Foundation Scotland</p> <p><u>PPCDT/2Pins Rep</u> Peter Walker</p> <p><u>Police Scotland</u> None</p> <p><u>Members of Public</u> Ann Berry Joe Rigby - PPCDT James Stewart – PPCA Jean Wyllie - PPCDT</p> <p>Minutes: Irene Climie (IC)</p>	
<p>2. Apologies: David Logan (DL), Hal Maxwell (HM), Police Scotland.</p>	
<p>3. Declaration of interest: None.</p>	
<p>4. Police Report: There was no one in attendance from Police Scotland, and no report received.</p>	
<p>5. Presentations: There was a presentation by Clare Graham (CG) of Foundation Scotland (FS), which administers the Hadyard Hill (HH) and Assel Valley (AV) Community Benefit Funds. CG explained that the HH fund was now divided into 3 separate areas – Barr, Dailly and Pinwherry & Pinmore. CG said it was now felt that the funds sit better as some communities did not feel the old system was fair. CC pointed out that the three community councils must agree “new panel structures / MOU’s” and sign off by the end of March. At present, all have basically agreed. CG said that a new panel should ideally be set up now, certainly by the end of February, and it was important to have a starting point. CG felt it was important to have someone from HH on the panel, and a spread of people to get ideas. IY stated that VN and DL from PPCC had expressed an interest in sitting on the panel and Ann Berry and Joy Chamberlain from the public. IY noted that there were three on the HH panel currently. CG said that panels did not normally have reps. CG stated that Brunston Castle had been sold for £172,000, which would be split among the three CCs, which gave a net figure of around £55,000 each.</p>	
<p>6. Minutes of Meeting on 21/11/19 Both minutes were approved by MC and VN.</p>	
<p>7. Matters arising PW asked whether AV would be the same as HH. IY stated that this was the long term intention.</p>	

<p>8. Correspondence</p> <p>IY reported that there had been a message from Roger Pirrie (RP) regarding the Lendalfoot to Pinmore Road. There was a query that signs had been stolen but there was no evidence and the police were not interested.</p> <p>IY reported that Pathfinder wanted to know about financial eligibility criteria, but IY said this was available on the FS website. He said there was no obligation to give out information CC had followed the OSCR guidelines.</p> <p>IY said he had received a note from HM regarding a chemotherapy document and information released in the local newspaper. IF had said there would be three tiers of treatment:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Beatson Hospital in Glasgow 2 Crosshouse Hospital 3 Ayr Hospital <p>There was much discussion about this – VN felt this involved long distances in reaching Crosshouse. IF said the document had gone out to consultation.</p>	
<p>9. Reports</p> <p>a) <u>Link officer</u> CC said that there was nothing to report.</p> <p>b) <u>PPCDA / 2Pins</u> PW said that 4G broadband had now been installed and was working. This was running off an extension lead and South Ayrshire Council would provide a plug and two sockets in the small room off the hall. PW said there was now a letterbox for PPCDT letters. PW stated that PPCDT would submit a business plan for Part 2 of the Asset Transfer of the old school by the end of January. A letter had been sent to everyone. PW said information had to go into the business plan about where PPCDT thought they would be able to obtain funds from. PW said that c£80,000 was shown in the 2019 Kilgallioch account and asked if PPCC could provide this for match funding? Advised that as CC groups had drawn down from the fund that sum could not be available. If an invoice was received after the CC meeting, it was 30 days until the next CC meeting to pass on the funds. Why can't funds be released at the beginning? VN thought invoices often took 30 days. IY advised that, if funding was agreed, a cheque could be sent out before the next meeting. IY admitted that payments were currently behind, as the cheque book was with HM. PW felt it would be useful if there was access to the CC accounts as it would help to know what funds were left each month. PW agreed that he would welcome a meeting. PW felt the community thought that decisions were being made in secret. IY said that CC had been excluded from the PPCD project, secrecy?? CC have repeatedly offered joint meeting, is still waiting for PPCDT to engage. IY repeated his previous answer to funding rental for business start-ups. Could only respond to Funding Bids that matched the criteria, only not for profit business were eligible. PW stated that the minutes were sent out to everyone on the database. CC suggested that a copy of the minutes could be sent to PPCC and they could then distribute this to PPCC members. CC commented that the CC private session was exactly that – private and that fund applicant had the right to privacy whether successful or not. AB felt that a joint meeting would bring the community together. JS said that a letter said Income generation should be reserved to PPCDT. IY pointed out that PPCDT needs funds to carry on with the school project. He did not</p>	

<p>feel there was a need for the Association as the money should go to the school. CC asked whether the association generated funds for the community, just as the Trust generated funds for the school. JS said that it did. PW said that the Association laid on a Christmas dinner each year and Children’s activities during the summer holidays. There was a frank discussion over funding. IF pointed out that a funding body could not publish if an organisation has or has not received funding unless the organisation agrees as this is a private matter. Only the people looking at funding know who is applying and all funding meetings are private.</p> <p>c) <u>Elected members report</u> IF said that SAC was working on their budget. SAC’s budget would be the same amount as last year. IF said the Council had closed the Kyle centre in Ayr but had had to re-open this after Holyrood got involved with the legality of the closure.</p> <p>d) <u>Planning Matters</u> DL was not at the meeting but IY was able to report that SSE had confirmed that their application for 18 turbines at Clauchrie had got in. IF said that the application would go to the Scottish government said it was too big for SAC to deal with.</p> <p>e) <u>Road Matters</u> None.</p>	
<p>10. Open Forum JR queried the problems at Daljarrock Hotel with lorries. IF said that this involved nothing which required planning permission.</p> <p>JS asked about the flooding at the reservoir. IF confirmed that he had dealt with this in the past. JW said that he had tried to fix it but there was nowhere to put a drain. IF said that Kevin Braidwood (KB) was now Acting Manager and that he would email him.</p> <p>AB queried the situation at Muck Road. IY reported that Ayrshire Roads Alliance (ARA) had promised to look again as bridges needed to be able to take the weight of relevant lorries. IY pointed out that, after the first complaints, ARA met up with people who had taken stuff in – some by helicopter and that ARA said they could do nothing more about it.</p>	IF
<p>11. Treasurer’s Report There was no further financial reporting.</p>	
<p>12. AOCB There were no other matters.</p>	
<p>13. Date of next meeting The next PPCC meeting will take place on Thurs 20 February 2020.</p> <p>This ended the public section of the meeting.</p>	