

PINWHERRY & PINMORE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON Thursday 16 May 2013 IN PINWHERRY COMMUNITY CENTRE

Community Councillors present:

Roger Pirrie (Chair) Chris Saunders (Vice Chair), Mike Chamberlain (Treasurer),
Marie McNulty (Secretary) Peter Walker, Joy Chamberlain, David Logan
Co-opted members: Charles Welsh

In Attendance: Louise Fyfe (SAC Link Officer)
Community Police Officer: Sgt. Kevin McKeown
Claire Monaghan SAC

Apologies: S.A.C Elected Member Alec Clark, John McAlley

Members of the public: Richard Carr (SAYLSA) Ian Terry, Kim Terry,

Chair taken by Roger Pirrie. **Minutes** taken by Senga Scobie

Declarations of Interest: No additions to those registered.

No.	Item	Action by
1.	<p>Community Police Officer's report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No reported crime in the community council area. • No reports of road traffic collisions on the A714. • One report of a fuel spillage on the road which was dealt with by SAC • Speed checks and patrols have been in the Barrhill area. • Angling Club: no update. 	
2.	<p>Deputations / Presentations / Open Forum None Due to the presence of Claire Monaghan (SAC) this meeting will not cover all normal business. Normal business agenda will resume at the next meeting.</p>	
3.	<p>Minutes of 21 March 2013 Approval proposed by Peter Walker Seconded by Chris Saunders. All agreed.</p>	
4.	<p>Matters arising not on this agenda: None</p>	
5	<p>RP welcomed CM and advised of CM's reason for attending this meeting being in regards to CC issues (questions) put to David Anderson who for varied reasons, did not attend a PPCC meeting to answer them. He did send his reply via email of which a copy was given to all in attendance.</p> <p>Q1. <i>Planning Appeal at MacLachrieston Farm, Pinmore.</i> RP explained this question arose due to a planning application which was passed with conditions (mainly noise), near CW's house. The reporter advised noise conditions wasn't enforceable.</p>	

<p>It was questioned why hasn't the technical report changed. CW read out the response from David Anderson and explained the situation. CM agreed with the point raised by CW and will follow this up as this is meant for guidance.</p> <p><i>Q2. None response to email/phone calls to SAC</i> CM replied this is a frequent complaint also confusion on who to contact within the council. Work is ongoing to create a structure of internal communication/customer contact centre. CM advised this should be sorted out by August and stated the Link Officer is in place for CC to communicate through. CM advised naming officers who repeatedly fail to respond. LF suggested emailing her to chase this up. CW suggested looking at data systems to improve communications.</p> <p><i>Q3. What is the relationship between SAC and Ailsa Horizons?</i> CM: there are no direct relations at all. Ailsa Horizons bid for all contracts, some on behalf of other organisations.</p> <p><i>Q4. Recognition of saturation point being reached with wind turbines in South Carrick</i> CM cannot comment on number of wind turbines as the council become involved in the planning stages. It is the Government who can accept or reject applications from developers, SAC is not actively encouraging or influencing applications. CW- Tralorg problem re regulatory panel. CM- new blue book should be out by June as advised by Billy Pollock. PW- Tralorg situation unfortunate/advice conflicting. CM- Planners are looking to consult CC on 3rd June regarding local guidance, Scottish Government is aware of conflicting advice. It is okay for CC's to liaise on rules. Seminar is being held on 15 June re work with CC's, interaction will be on SAC website for comments. PW suggested solution CC do not communicate with developers. With regards to CBF, developers should be in contact with either HH or CF not CC's. CM advised CC write to SAC to express views. CW stated consultations are more of a PR event to find out what they can and change proposals. PW & CW – windfarm affect wide areas, the process is not transparent. CM- SAC cannot make the process transparent. Raise representations with CC. RP will draft a letter and circulate among CC's and SAC. CW – questioned where to go on the liaison group for Altercannoch or not. CM will look into this tomorrow.</p> <p><i>Q5 What support is available to volunteer Community Councillors, struggling to cope with many responsibilities involved in representing communities.</i> CM has not yet had dialogue of what CC's want. Consultation</p>	<p>CM</p> <p>RP</p> <p>CM</p>
--	-------------------------------

<p>will take place on 15 June regarding working relationship and where SAC can help (training/responses). SAC rate CC's highly. CM advised of format for consultation meeting on 15 June.</p> <p><i>Q6 Why are newly elected C Councillors not offered training.</i> CM advised training is in the pipeline and would be interested in what training CC's would be interested in doing.</p> <p><i>Q7. Conditions of roads though Pinwherry.</i> It was noted Dumfries and Galloway roads are in good condition whereas SAC are terrible. RP advised on point raised from the recent special roads meeting. It was agreed it is the responsibility of the roads group to take the problem forward. CM - Acknowledged the roads is a problem which has occurred over years of non repairs. In respect of quality repairs the lowest price is always taken. The budget is a political decision. Mike Newall would be happy to attend if CC's feels things are not improving. CM will take this to MN tomorrow. RP to send background reports on roads meeting.</p> <p><i>Richard Carr SAYLSA</i> RC explained the Rail partnership situation. Community engagement day will be held on 28 May. RC asked for;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CC write to SSC 2. PPCC representative sit on strategy group. It was suggested WB do this, RP to contact WB to advise on RC information. To be the representative WB would need to be voted on to PPCC as a co-opted member. This was agreed pending WB being willing. 3. Consider reopening Pinwherry station. <p><i>PW – 2 Pins Company</i> PW explained SAC Pinwherry Community Centre is deemed not fit for purpose with no disabled access, no disabled toilets, inadequate car parking etc. It is understood SAC do not have the funding to replace this community facility. After consultations with the community it was agreed a new fit for purpose community facility be built. The new facility will save SAC the running, and maintenance costs of the current hall. It seems that there is little help from SAC in assisting the community in achieving a new facility. The 2 Pins Centre together with Community Council Pinwherry School project (which has been on the agenda since October 2012) are proving difficult to progress. CM asked what help that is not monetary can SAC give, to which PW stated ,support.</p>	<p>CM RP</p> <p>RP</p> <p>PW &CM</p>
---	--

	PW will meet with CM to discuss this further.	
6.	Elected S.A.C. Councillor Alec Clark: No report.	
7.	S.A.C. Link Officer Louise Fyfe: AC sends his apologies, any issues can be reported back to him. LF reiterated she is Community Council Link Officer. South Ayrshire Council is currently putting together a bid for Creative Scotland Place Partnership status which may involve future contact with community councils to discuss heritage and arts projects.LF will contact RP re this.	LF
8.	Treasurer: Balance in bank as at Thurs 16 May (am) £10,469.14.	
9.	Secretary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CC seminar taking place on 1st June from 10am – 1pm. MM to let SAC No's attending. • SAC planning meeting taking place on 3 June from 6.30 -8pm in Girvan Academy. 	MM
10.	AOBC No other business reported.	
11.	Meeting closed at 21:00 Date of next meeting Thursday 20 June at 7.30pm In Pinwherry Hall.	